Application No. 10/520,640

In Response to Office Action dated November 21, 2006

Paper dated February 21, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 0115-045732

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS** 

The attached sheet of formal drawings of Figure 8 includes numeral 63

indicating the torsion spring. This number 63 is concurrently being inserted into the

specification of the application. This change is highlighted on the attached annotated copy of

Figure 8. The remaining sheets of drawings of Figures 1-7 are formal drawings of originally

filed Figures 1-7. These 8 replacement sheets replace the original informal 8 sheets of

drawings for Figures 1-8.

Attachments: Replacement Sheets (Figures 1-8)

Annotated Copy Showing Changes (Figure 8)

{W0335847.1}

7

Application No. 10/520,640 In Response to Office Action dated November 21, 2006 Paper dated February 21, 2007 Attorney Docket No. 0115-045732

## **REMARKS**

This Amendment amends claims 13-22 and 24-26 and cancels claim 23. Claims 13-22 and 24-26 have been amended to overcome the rejections of the claims. This Amendment also encloses formal drawings for Figures 1 through 8 and amends Figure 8.

Reconsideration of the rejections of the claims and reconsideration of the objections to the drawings are respectfully requested.

## Objections to Drawings

The drawings have been objected. Formal drawings for Figures 1 through 8 are enclosed. Figures 1 through 8 of the enclosed formal drawings are the same as the informal drawings originally filed except for the number "63" now appearing on Figure 8 to indicate the torsion spring which is inside the tube 56 of the torsion-spring unit 58. Support for the torsion spring appears on page 16, lines 16 through 35 of the specification, and the number "63" is now included in the specification and in Figure 8 for clarity purposes.

In view of the submittal of formal drawings for Figures 1 through 8, Applicants respectfully request that the objection to the drawings be withdrawn.

The drawings were further objected to under 37 CFR § 1.83(a). As stated in the preceding paragraph, the torsion spring is indicated as "63" in Figure 8. Additionally, in an attempt to overcome the objection to the drawings, Applicants have canceled claim 23.

In view of the amendments to Figure 8 and the cancellation of claim 23, Applicants respectfully request that the objections to the drawings on this basis be withdrawn. No new matter has been entered.

## Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 18 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

To overcome this rejection to claims 18 and 26, claims 18 and 26 have been amended to clearly characterize the structure, location and operation of a contactless switch for operating the roller door. Applicants bring to the Examiner's attention page 10, lines 6 through 37 of the specification which disclose a clear description of the manner in which the switch 9, for example, can be an optical device for sensing motion, and which switch 9 operates in conjunction with a logic circuitry to activate the opening and closing of the door. The provision of such a switch is well-known to a person skilled in the art and the disclosure on page 10 adequately describes the operation of the contactless switch 9.

{W0335847.1}

Application No. 10/520,640

In Response to Office Action dated November 21, 2006

Paper dated February 21, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 0115-045732

In view of the amendments to claims 18 and 26 and the above comments,

Applicants respectfully request that the rejection to the claims be withdrawn.

Claims 13-26 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner

required by 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. To overcome this rejection to the claims, as

stated hereinabove, claims 13-22 and 24-26 are amended in order to clearly and positively

recite the structure and operative device for the rolling door of the present invention.

In view of the amendments to the claims and the above comments, Applicants

respectfully request that the rejection to the claims on this basis be withdrawn.

Prior Art of Record

Applicants note the prior art made of record and not relied upon but which the

Examiner considers pertinent to the Applicants' disclosure.

Conclusion

In view of the amendments to the claims and to the drawings and in view of

the submittal of formal drawings for Figures 1 through 8, reconsideration of the rejections of

claims 13-22 and 24-26 and reconsideration of the objections to the drawings are respectfully

requested.

Applicants believe that the application is now in condition for allowance and

favorable action thereto is requested at an early date.

Respectively submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

William H/Løgsdon

Registration No. 22,132

Attorney for Applicants

700 Koppers Building

436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

Telephone: 412-471-8815 Facsimile: 412-471-4094

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com

{W0335847.1}

9

9/9

